

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING AND EXTRAORDINARY GENERAL MEETING ,TO BE HELD ON 23 APRIL 2021 - RESPONSES TO QUERIES FROM SHAREHOLDERS AND SECURITIES INVESTORS ASSOCIATION (SINGAPORE)

The Board of Directors of United Industrial Corporation Limited (the "Company") refers to its respective announcements on 1 April 2021 on the following:

- (a) Notice of annual general meeting;
- (b) Notice of extraordinary general meeting and Circular on the Company's proposed change of name; and
- (c) Annual Report for the financial year ended 31 December 2020.

The Company has received questions from a shareholder and from the Securities Investors Association (Singapore) ("SIAS") in relation to the above and sets out its responses to the said questions in the Appendix. For the avoidance of doubt, the Company would not be responding to the commentaries made by SIAS since they merely set out the context of the questions raised.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD

Teo Hwee Ping Company Secretary

21 April 2021

Appendix

RESPONSE TO A SHAREHOLDER'S QUERY

RESPONSES TO SIAS' QUERIES

No.	Topic		Question Response
μ	Business Operations	a)	Can management provide UICT provides IT solutions and services to improve our customers' shareholders with greater clarity on competitiveness and productivity, which are increasingly relevant the typical projects and services as husinesses emerge from the pandemic and adapt to a new the typical projects and services as husinesses emerge from the pandemic and adapt to a new the typical projects and services as husinesses emerge from the pandemic and adapt to a new the typical projects.
		5	What is UICT's value proposition? Who are the major customers? How much of
		c)	Are there synergies between UICT and the group's core real estate and hospitality businesses? What is the
			strategic value of UICT in the group's long-term growth plans? UICT has a diverse customer base, ranging from long-term growth plans?
		<u>a</u>	How much of the growth can be sustained after the spike in demand in technology related projects and services. We hope to see a 2020 due to COVID?

	4.	No.
ì	Board Matters	Topic
	c) b) a)	c
	Can the NC elaborate further on how it had carried out the "rigorous scrutiny" of the independence of the long tenured directors? Given that all three independent members (including the chairman) of the NC are subject to the "rigorous scrutiny", how effective is the NC at discharging its duties? Has the NC evaluated if the long-tenure of a director and his/her relationship with the company, its related corporations, its substantial shareholders or its officers should interfere, or be reasonably perceived to interfere, with the exercise of the director's independent business judgement in the best interest of the company?	Question
For the reasons mentioned above, we believe that the effectiveness of the NC in discharging its duties has not been compromised notwithstanding that three of the NC Members are long-tenured IDs.	The NC's assessment of the independence of the long-tenured Independent Directors (IDs) is not confined to compliance with the Code of Corporate Governance and the SGX-ST SGX Listing Rules but also includes interaction of the IDs amongst the IDs, with the Board and also with Management, leading to more comprehensive understanding of the independent mindsets of the IDs; and (ii) any circumstances or relationships (both disclosed and non-disclosed) which may affect an ID's actual and perceived independence. We are of the view that an ID's length of service does not necessarily affect one's independent judgment. On the contrary, with the in-depth understanding of and familiarity with the Company's business amassed by long-tenured IDs, each long-tenured ID maintained an independent mindset and constructively challenged proposals by Management and major shareholders and made invaluable contributions to Board decisions. The IDs' familiarity with one another and the Board over the years also allowed for freedom of expression of independent views with less restraint.	Response

of a two-tier voting for the long tenured directors?	appointments would be made to ensure the Board	comprises majority IDs and these will be announced in due	Board Matters	of a two-tier voting for the long tenured directors?	As the long-tenured IDs have already expressed their desire to step down from the Board by 31 December 2021, there was no need for the NC to consider the early adoption of a two-tier voting. New appointments would be made to ensure the Board always comprises majority IDs and these will be announced in due course.
--	--	---	---------------	--	--

3

<u>ş</u>1